## **Sandy Bacik**

From: Sandy Bacik

Sent: Friday, January 20, 2012 6:39 AM

To: csctgarchi@nist.gov; Tillman, Leonard

Subject: CSWG Architecture minutes from 20120119

CSWG Architecture twiki: <a href="http://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/bin/view/SmartGrid/CsCTGArchi">http://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/bin/view/SmartGrid/CsCTGArchi</a> Chair: Sandy Bacik (<a href="mailto:sandy.bacik@enernex.com">sandy.bacik@enernex.com</a>)

## 20120119 Minutes

- 1. Conceptual security architecture applying security services (<a href="http://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/pub/SmartGrid/CsCTGArchi/20120112-SecurityServices.ppt">http://collaborate.nist.gov/twiki-sggrid/pub/SmartGrid/CsCTGArchi/20120112-SecurityServices.ppt</a>).
  - a. We continued work on reviewing the service definitions and if the security service should be applied at the enterprise level, component level, application level, and to ALL messages.
  - b. A "Yes" or "Required" means the service would need to be defined and applied at each C-I-A level and a "No" or "Optional" means the service is not necessarily defined but may be changed to a "Yes" based on the C-I-A level.
  - c. We discussed combining the "Enterprise Registration" and "Enterprise Unique Naming" services, because the base definitions are very similar. Consensus was to keep them separate, because Enterprise Registration is a superset of Enterprise Unique Naming.
  - d. We discussed removing the "Directory Service" service, because this would really be a specific technology that would be implemented and this should not be at the conceptual level. The consensus was to keep it, because it can be applied as a service across many types of systems.
  - e. We discussed and had consensus on merging "Integrity Protection Hardware", "Integrity Protection Message", "Integrity Protection Software", and "Integrity Protection Stored Data" services into one superset security service of "Integrity Protection".
    - i. NOTE: We will need to revisit the same type merging with the confidentiality services.
  - f. We discussed and had consensus on changing "Trusted Time" to "Time Synchronization".
  - g. Incident Response: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - h. Incident Reporting: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - i. Intrusion Detection: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - j. Message Replay Protection: Enterprise No; SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - k. Non-Repudiation: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - I. Personnel Security: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - m. Physical Security: Enterprise, SG Component Yes; SG Application, all messages No.
  - Replication and Backup Data: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - o. Replication and Backup Software: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - p. Risk Management Data: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - q. Security Alarm Management Software: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - r. Security Measurement and Metrics: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - s. Security Monitoring: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - t. Security Operations Management: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - u. Security Policy Management: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - v. Security Provisioning: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - w. Security Service Management: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - x. Security Training and Awareness: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - y. Software Licensing: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - z. System Audit: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.
  - aa. System Configuration Protection: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application Yes; all messages No.
  - bb. Time Synchronization: Enterprise, SG Component, SG Application, all messages Yes.

## 2. Attendees

- a. Daniel Friedman
- b. Elizabeth Sisley
- c. Leonard Tillman
- d. Neil Greenfield
- e. Sandy Bacik
- f. T.N. Choubey

## Regards,

**Sandy Bacik**, CISSP, CISM, ISSMP, CGEIT *Principal Consultant* 

**EnerNeX** 

**p:** 865.696.4470

e: <a href="mailto:sandy.bacik@enernex.com">sandy.bacik@enernex.com</a> // <a href="mailto:www.enernex.com">www.enernex.com</a> // <a href="mailto:sandy.bacik@enernex.com">www.enernex.com</a> // <a href="mailto:sandy.bacik@enernex.com">www.enernex.com</a